

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,669		01/03/2002	Mark T. Feuerstraeter	42390P11856	8280	
8791	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
		OFF TAYLOR &	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D			
12400 WILS SEVENTH I		ULEVARD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES. CA	90025-1030		2665		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(K		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/037,669	FEUERSTRAETER ET	FEUERSTRAETER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Steven HD Nguyen	2665		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	S	
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuary 2002.			
		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the me	rits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	e	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 29 objected to because of the following informalities: the claim can not dependent on itself. The examiner assumes it depends on claim 28. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams (USP 6957269).

Williams discloses a network interface with enhanced Ethernet flow control capability to selectively throttle a mere subset of Ethernet traffic (Fig 7, Ref 570).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams 5. (USP 6957269) in view of Lee (USP 6859435).

Williams discloses a method and network interface comprising identifying a receive capability associated with one or more priority levels of Ethernet traffic for a network device (Col. 4, lines 43-55 includes queues for storing the frames, each queue corresponds to a priority of the frame, See Col. 5, lines 29-46, identifying which priority queues has capability to receive packets and which priority queue do not have capability to receive packet; then generate a control message "pause frame", includes a priority indicator which indicates all the other priorities buffer is still have capability to receive packets, for transmitting to the sender interface which suspends the transmission of packets having the same priority indicator, See col. 7, lines 49-58, col. 8, lines 13-36, See col. 9, lines 3-47 and col. 11, lines 1-22); transmitting the generated control message to a communicatively coupled network device, whereupon receipt of the generated control message the communicatively coupled network device acts in accordance with the received control message to suspend a subset of Ethernet traffic (Fig 5, ref 570); the buffer for each priority level is comprised of one or more memory device(s) (Col. 5, lines 29-46); generating a control message comprises generating an Ethernet control packet including a priority field, the priority field denoting the flow control priority level (Fig 4); the priority field is included in a header portion of the Ethernet control packet; receiving Ethernet traffic; identifying a priority level associated with each packet of received Ethernet traffic; and forwarding each received packet to a receive buffer based, at least in part, on the identified priority level associated with the Ethernet packet (Col. 5, lines 29-46); monitoring the receive capability of buffers associated with each of the priority levels of Ethernet traffic; and issuing

Art Unit: 2665

control messages, as necessary, to throttle transmission of at least a subset of Ethernet traffic in accordance with the identified receive capability associated with the one or more priority levels (Col. 7, lines 49-58); throttling transmission of a subset of Ethernet traffic comprises temporarily suspending transmission of the subset of Ethernet traffic for a set period of time (Col. 7, lines 25-58); receiving content from a host network device for transmission to another network device communicatively coupled through an Ethernet network; and assigning a priority level to the received content based, at least in part, on a source of such Content (inherently discloses by station for generating a frame with priority); receiving content from one or more source applications executing on a host network device, the content tagged with a priority level associated with its source application; and selectively transmitting received content to another network device communicatively coupled through an Ethernet network based, at least in part, on the priority level of the content (inherently discloses) and received control message(s) throttling transmission of a subset of such Ethernet traffic (Fig 5, ref 570); a transmit buffer, responsive to a host network device and the control logic, to receive content from one or more application(s) executing on the host network device for transmission to other network device(s) through an Ethernet network, the received content including an indication of priority level (Inherently discloses); the indication of priority level in the received content is determined by its source application (Inherently discloses); the control logic is a media access controller (MAC) including enhanced flow control capability to implement flow control on a mere subset of Ethernet traffic (See col. 1, lines 23-40). However, Williams fails to discloses generating a control message including a flow control priority level, the flow control priority level denoting the identified priority level above or below which the network device has the ability to receive Ethernet traffic.

Art Unit: 2665

In the same field of endeavor, Lee discloses a method and device for generating a control message including a flow control priority level, the flow control priority level denoting the identified priority level above or below which the network device has the ability to receive Ethernet traffic (Col 5, lines 62 to col. 6, lines 25, identifying the priority levels that the node still has a capability to receive more packets and generating a feedback message includes an priority level that the node has room to receive the packets has a priority greater or less than the priority level in the feedback message; See col. 10, lines 44-62, col. 11, lines 44-67, col. 12, lines 43-64 and Figs 9-10); determining available buffer capacity for each of a plurality of buffers associated with a commensurate plurality of Ethernet priority levels (Fig 10, Ref 1007 for determining available buffers); the available buffer capacity associated with a particular Ethernet priority level denotes the ability of the buffer to receive additional Ethernet traffic of that priority level (Fig 10, Ref 1007 for determining available buffers for receiving the packets); the buffers associated with each of the priority levels are virtual buffers implemented within a common physical buffer (col. 11, lines 8-20); the generated control message, being Ethernet pause frame having a priority field which uses to implement flow control after identifying the priority level, includes an indication of the priority level above which a receive buffer has available capacity to receive Ethernet traffic of an associated priority level (Col. 5, lines 62 to col. 6, Lines 25); a receiving network device initiates a pause in transmission of Ethernet traffic having a priority level below that indicated in the received control message (Col. 5, lines 62 to col. 6, Lines 25); another control message is received denoting that transmission of the subset of Ethernet traffic may resume (Col. 6, lines 9-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,669

Art Unit: 2665

Since, Lee suggests a method and system for generating a feedback message includes a priority level for indicating the receiver still has room for the packet with a priority greater or low the priority level for transmitting to the sender can be implement in the Ethernet network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply the teaching of Lee into the teaching of Williams. The motivation would have been to prevent of deadlocks and live-locks in lossless back-pressured packet network.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Erimli (USP 6450258) discloses a method and system for controlling the flow of data frames through a network switch on a port by port basic.

Galand (USP 5838922) discloses a method and system for generating a feedback for a shared buffer with a threshold for each class.

Iliadis (USP 5742606) discloses a method and system for generating a feedback for a shared buffer with a threshold for each class.

Kadambi (US 2003/0016628) discloses a method for selectively controlling the flow of data via a network device.

McAlpine (US 20020141427) discloses a method and system for performing a flow control.

Fawaz (USP 6970424) discloses a method for selectively controlling the flow of data via a network device.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,669

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665

December 8, 2005